

Diaqua(6-bromopicolinato- κ^2N,O)-(nitrate- κ^2O,O)copper(II)

Joana A. Silva,^a Ana Pereira Magalhães,^b Manuela Ramos Silva,^{b*} Abílio J. F. N. Sobral^a and Laura C. J. Pereira^c

^aChemistry Department, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3004-535 Coimbra, Portugal, ^bCEMDRX, Physics Department, University of Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal, and ^cInstituto Tecnológico e Nuclear, Estrada Nacional 10, P-2686-953 Sacavem, Portugal
Correspondence e-mail: manuela@pollux.fis.uc.pt


Received 27 December 2010; accepted 5 January 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.006$ Å; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.137; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.5.

In the monomeric title complex, $[Cu(C_6H_3BrNO_2)(NO_3)(H_2O)_2]$, the Cu^{II} ion is coordinated by a bidentate 6-bromopicolinate ion, one nitrate ion and two water molecules in a geometry intermediate between five- and six-coordinate. Conventional $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds link the complex molecules, forming layers parallel to the ab plane.

Related literature

For general background to copper complexes with low-dimensionality synthesized by our group, see: Martins, Ramos Silva *et al.* (2008), Martins, Silva *et al.* (2008); Ramos Silva *et al.* (2001*a,b,c*, 2005*a,b*). For a magnetic low-dimensional system with picolinic acid, see: Eppley *et al.* (1997). For a similar compound with magnetic properties, see: Kukovec *et al.* (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Cu(C_6H_3BrNO_2)(NO_3)(H_2O)_2]$
 $M_r = 362.59$
Orthorhombic, $Pbca$
 $a = 9.0791$ (14) Å
 $b = 14.035$ (2) Å
 $c = 17.165$ (2) Å

$V = 2187.2$ (6) Å³
 $Z = 8$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 5.68$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ K
0.40 × 0.10 × 0.08 mm

Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2000)
 $T_{min} = 0.619$, $T_{max} = 0.999$
35919 measured reflections
3263 independent reflections
1849 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{int} = 0.069$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.137$
 $S = 1.03$
3263 reflections
167 parameters
7 restraints
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.06$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.19$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$O6-H6A\cdots O3^i$	0.85 (1)	2.03 (2)	2.825 (4)	156 (4)
$O6-H6B\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.85 (2)	1.86 (1)	2.700 (4)	166 (2)
$O7-H7A\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.85 (1)	2.06 (2)	2.874 (5)	163 (5)
$O7-H7B\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.85 (3)	2.08 (3)	2.833 (4)	148 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by the Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional-QREN-COMPETE through project PTDC/FIS/102284/2008-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT5450).

References

- Bruker (2003). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Eppley, H. J., Aubin, S. M., Streib, W. E., Bollinger, J. C., Hendrickson, D. N. & Christou, G. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* **36**, 109–115.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kukovec, B.-M., Popovic, Z., Kozlevcar, B. & Jaglicic, Z. (2008). *Polyhedron*, **27**, 3631–3638.
- Martins, N. D., Ramos Silva, M., Silva, J. A., Matos Beja, A. & Sobral, A. J. F. N. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m829–m830.
- Martins, N. D., Silva, J. A., Ramos Silva, M., Matos Beja, A. & Sobral, A. J. F. N. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m394.
- Ramos Silva, M., Paixão, J. A., Matos Beja, A. & Alte da Veiga, L. (2001*a*). *Acta Cryst. C* **57**, 7–8.
- Ramos Silva, M., Paixão, J. A., Matos Beja, A. & Alte da Veiga, L. (2001*b*). *Acta Cryst. C* **57**, 9–11.
- Ramos Silva, M., Paixão, J. A., Matos Beja, A., da Veiga, L. A. & Martin-Gil, J. (2001*c*). *J. Chem. Crystallogr.* **31**, 167–171.
- Ramos Silva, M., Matos Beja, A., Paixão, J. A. & Martin-Gil, J. (2005*a*). *Acta Cryst. C* **61**, m507–m509.
- Ramos Silva, M., Matos Beja, A., Paixão, J. A. & Martin-Gil, J. (2005*b*). *Acta Cryst. C* **61**, m380–m382.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m160 [doi:10.1107/S160053681100064X]

Diaqua(6-bromopicolinato- κ^2N,O)(nitrato- κ^2O,O)copper(II)

J. A. Silva, A. Pereira Magalhães, M. Ramos Silva, A. J. F. N. Sobral and L. C. J. Pereira

Comment

The title compound was obtained within a project of synthesizing new molecular magnets (Martins, Silva *et al.*, 2008; Martins, Ramos Silva *et al.*, 2008; Ramos Silva *et al.*, 2001*a*, 2001*b*, 2001*c*, 2005*a*, 2005*b*). Molecular based magnets can capitalize on the flexibility inherent in carbon chemistry. Such flexibility allows a rational choice of ligands to control the dimensionality of the system, so that quantum effects can be enhanced. Picolinic and hydroxypicolinic acid have been widely used as ligands in low- dimensional metallic systems (Eppley *et al.*, 1997) but 6-bromopicolinic acid has been scarcely used. A different substituent in the pyridine ring may lead to significant electronic and steric effects enlarging the structural diversity. In fact, Kukovec *et al.* (2008) synthesized a copper (II) complex with 6-bromopicolinic acid as a bidentate ligand in which the magnetic exchange pathway is connected to the Br $\cdots\pi$ interaction.

In the title compound, the Cu^{II} ion is coordinated by a bromopicolinate ligand, two water molecules and a nitrate ion (Fig. 1). One of the Cu—O bonds is rather long [Cu1—O4 2.682 (3) °] so that the coordination about the copper ion is intermediate between five and six-coordination. If the latter bond is to be ignored, the remaining coordination stereochemistry is near a square pyramid. In that case, the copper ion is 0.3149 (5) Å above the least-squares plane of the basal coordinating atoms. The H-bond network is confined to layers parallel to the *ab* plane (Fig. 2, Table 1). The bromine also forms a short contact [3.066 (2) Å] with O2ⁱ [symmetry code: (i) -1 + *x,y,z*].

The magnetic susceptibility was measured using a SQUID magnetometer in function of temperature with an applied magnetic field of 2 T. The inverse susceptibility showed a linear dependence with temperature, excluding any interaction between magnetic centers.

Experimental

0.14 mmol of 6-bromo-2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde in dichloromethane (10 ml) was added to 0.12 mmol of Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O in water (10 ml). After a few weeks, blue single crystals of the title compound were obtained.

Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed at calculated positions and were treated as riding on the parent atoms with C—H = 0.93 Å (aromatic) and with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. H atoms of water molecules O6 and O7 could not be correctly located in a difference Fourier map. They were placed at positions calculated to optimize H-bonds and refined using restraints [O—H = 0.85 (1) Å, H—H = 1.34 (1) Å] and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$. To avoid that water (O6) H atoms slip into density peaks around the heavy metal atom, a *DFIX* command was used to guarantee a Cu \cdots H distance of at least 2.50 (1) Å. There are maximum and minimum density peaks slightly above 1 e/Å³.

Figures




Fig. 1. *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1976) plot of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level.




Fig. 2. Packing of the molecules in the unit cell showing the H-bonds as dashed lines.

Diaqua(6-bromopicolinato- κ^2N,O)(nitrate- κ^2O,O)copper(II)

Crystal data

[Cu(C₆H₃BrNO₂)(NO₃)(H₂O)₂]

$M_r = 362.59$

Orthorhombic, *Pbca*

Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab

$a = 9.0791$ (14) Å

$b = 14.035$ (2) Å

$c = 17.165$ (2) Å

$V = 2187.2$ (6) Å³

$Z = 8$

$F(000) = 1416$

$D_x = 2.202$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 6959 reflections

$\theta = 2.9$ – 26.3°

$\mu = 5.68$ mm⁻¹

$T = 293$ K

Needle, blue

$0.40 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2000)

$T_{\min} = 0.619$, $T_{\max} = 0.999$

35919 measured reflections

3263 independent reflections

1849 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.069$

$\theta_{\max} = 31.4^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 2.4^\circ$

$h = -12 \rightarrow 11$

$k = -19 \rightarrow 20$

$l = -24 \rightarrow 24$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.137$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0722P)^2]$
$S = 1.03$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
3263 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
167 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.06 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
7 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1+0.001xF_c^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
	Extinction coefficient: 0.0019 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.27639 (5)	0.10769 (4)	0.67505 (3)	0.03377 (16)
Br1	-0.01236 (4)	0.12831 (4)	0.52718 (3)	0.04471 (16)
C1	0.5394 (5)	0.1362 (3)	0.6017 (2)	0.0356 (10)
C2	0.4323 (4)	0.1249 (2)	0.5356 (2)	0.0291 (8)
C3	0.4765 (5)	0.1207 (4)	0.4602 (3)	0.0463 (12)
H3	0.5763	0.1214	0.4479	0.056*
C4	0.3723 (6)	0.1155 (4)	0.4020 (3)	0.0542 (14)
H4	0.4004	0.1107	0.3500	0.065*
C5	0.2261 (5)	0.1175 (4)	0.4223 (3)	0.0500 (13)
H5	0.1533	0.1164	0.3843	0.060*
C6	0.1890 (5)	0.1212 (3)	0.5006 (2)	0.0367 (10)
O1	0.4821 (3)	0.1405 (2)	0.66941 (16)	0.0406 (8)
O2	0.6707 (3)	0.1410 (3)	0.58800 (18)	0.0529 (9)
O3	0.1019 (3)	0.0189 (2)	0.67729 (14)	0.0378 (7)
O4	0.2795 (3)	-0.0834 (3)	0.66960 (18)	0.0516 (9)

supplementary materials

O5	0.0612 (4)	-0.1309 (2)	0.7005 (2)	0.0572 (9)
O6	0.3112 (3)	0.0737 (3)	0.78475 (15)	0.0505 (9)
H6A	0.3992 (12)	0.074 (3)	0.8019 (8)	0.076*
H6B	0.264 (3)	0.103 (2)	0.8203 (5)	0.076*
O7	0.1350 (4)	0.2239 (2)	0.70395 (19)	0.0494 (8)
H7A	0.156 (5)	0.2826 (10)	0.703 (3)	0.074*
H7B	0.086 (4)	0.222 (4)	0.7459 (14)	0.074*
N1	0.2882 (4)	0.1232 (2)	0.55771 (19)	0.0313 (8)
N2	0.1477 (4)	-0.0683 (3)	0.68317 (17)	0.0356 (8)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0240 (3)	0.0461 (4)	0.0312 (2)	0.0008 (2)	0.00166 (17)	0.0011 (2)
Br1	0.0287 (2)	0.0573 (3)	0.0481 (3)	0.00161 (19)	-0.00589 (16)	0.0033 (2)
C1	0.030 (2)	0.040 (3)	0.037 (2)	-0.0019 (18)	-0.0022 (16)	0.0035 (17)
C2	0.028 (2)	0.022 (2)	0.0374 (19)	0.0017 (16)	0.0021 (15)	0.0012 (15)
C3	0.035 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.041 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.0043 (17)	-0.001 (2)
C4	0.049 (3)	0.079 (4)	0.035 (2)	-0.004 (2)	0.0032 (19)	-0.007 (2)
C5	0.043 (3)	0.070 (4)	0.037 (2)	-0.004 (2)	-0.0053 (18)	0.002 (2)
C6	0.032 (2)	0.045 (3)	0.0328 (19)	0.0002 (17)	-0.0041 (16)	0.0018 (17)
O1	0.0272 (15)	0.064 (2)	0.0312 (14)	-0.0077 (14)	0.0003 (10)	-0.0009 (13)
O2	0.0238 (16)	0.091 (3)	0.0443 (17)	-0.0025 (15)	0.0015 (12)	0.0099 (16)
O3	0.0269 (14)	0.0397 (19)	0.0468 (15)	0.0008 (12)	-0.0003 (11)	0.0047 (12)
O4	0.0397 (19)	0.049 (2)	0.066 (2)	0.0137 (15)	0.0097 (14)	0.0014 (16)
O5	0.055 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.065 (2)	-0.0217 (17)	-0.0069 (17)	0.0160 (16)
O6	0.0313 (16)	0.087 (3)	0.0326 (14)	0.0088 (16)	0.0015 (11)	0.0027 (16)
O7	0.0525 (19)	0.0418 (19)	0.0541 (17)	0.0043 (16)	0.0184 (14)	-0.0001 (16)
N1	0.0277 (17)	0.032 (2)	0.0340 (16)	-0.0022 (14)	0.0002 (13)	0.0037 (13)
N2	0.037 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.0337 (16)	0.0017 (17)	-0.0030 (14)	0.0025 (14)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cu1—O1	1.926 (3)	C4—C5	1.373 (7)
Cu1—O6	1.968 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
Cu1—O3	2.016 (3)	C5—C6	1.386 (6)
Cu1—N1	2.029 (3)	C5—H5	0.9300
Cu1—O7	2.134 (3)	C6—N1	1.332 (5)
Br1—C6	1.886 (4)	O3—N2	1.296 (4)
C1—O2	1.218 (5)	O4—N2	1.238 (4)
C1—O1	1.274 (5)	O5—N2	1.215 (5)
C1—C2	1.503 (6)	O6—H6A	0.851 (9)
C2—C3	1.356 (6)	O6—H6B	0.85 (2)
C2—N1	1.363 (5)	O7—H7A	0.845 (10)
C3—C4	1.378 (6)	O7—H7B	0.85 (3)
C3—H3	0.9300		
O1—Cu1—O6	87.13 (12)	C3—C4—H4	120.7
O1—Cu1—O3	155.59 (13)	C4—C5—C6	118.9 (4)

O6—Cu1—O3	87.61 (12)	C4—C5—H5	120.6
O1—Cu1—N1	82.72 (12)	C6—C5—H5	120.6
O6—Cu1—N1	165.35 (13)	N1—C6—C5	123.3 (4)
O3—Cu1—N1	97.29 (11)	N1—C6—Br1	118.4 (3)
O1—Cu1—O7	114.35 (14)	C5—C6—Br1	118.2 (3)
O6—Cu1—O7	93.40 (13)	C1—O1—Cu1	115.5 (3)
O3—Cu1—O7	89.74 (13)	N2—O3—Cu1	109.4 (2)
N1—Cu1—O7	100.38 (13)	Cu1—O6—H6A	118.7 (11)
O2—C1—O1	125.0 (4)	Cu1—O6—H6B	118.9 (11)
O2—C1—C2	119.6 (4)	H6A—O6—H6B	103.2 (14)
O1—C1—C2	115.5 (4)	Cu1—O7—H7A	127 (3)
C3—C2—N1	123.3 (4)	Cu1—O7—H7B	119 (3)
C3—C2—C1	122.3 (4)	H7A—O7—H7B	99 (4)
N1—C2—C1	114.3 (3)	C6—N1—C2	116.4 (3)
C2—C3—C4	119.4 (4)	C6—N1—Cu1	133.9 (3)
C2—C3—H3	120.3	C2—N1—Cu1	109.2 (2)
C4—C3—H3	120.3	O5—N2—O4	123.1 (4)
C5—C4—C3	118.6 (4)	O5—N2—O3	119.7 (4)
C5—C4—H4	120.7	O4—N2—O3	117.2 (3)
O2—C1—C2—C3	-0.6 (6)	O7—Cu1—O3—N2	-162.2 (2)
O1—C1—C2—C3	179.0 (4)	C5—C6—N1—C2	2.3 (6)
O2—C1—C2—N1	-178.1 (4)	Br1—C6—N1—C2	-175.4 (3)
O1—C1—C2—N1	1.5 (5)	C5—C6—N1—Cu1	-168.5 (3)
N1—C2—C3—C4	0.7 (7)	Br1—C6—N1—Cu1	13.8 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-176.6 (4)	C3—C2—N1—C6	-2.8 (6)
C2—C3—C4—C5	1.9 (7)	C1—C2—N1—C6	174.7 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-2.3 (7)	C3—C2—N1—Cu1	170.2 (3)
C4—C5—C6—N1	0.2 (7)	C1—C2—N1—Cu1	-12.3 (4)
C4—C5—C6—Br1	177.9 (4)	O1—Cu1—N1—C6	-174.5 (4)
O2—C1—O1—Cu1	-169.1 (4)	O6—Cu1—N1—C6	139.0 (5)
C2—C1—O1—Cu1	11.3 (5)	O3—Cu1—N1—C6	30.1 (4)
O6—Cu1—O1—C1	155.0 (3)	O7—Cu1—N1—C6	-60.9 (4)
O3—Cu1—O1—C1	77.1 (4)	O1—Cu1—N1—C2	14.3 (2)
N1—Cu1—O1—C1	-14.5 (3)	O6—Cu1—N1—C2	-32.2 (6)
O7—Cu1—O1—C1	-112.6 (3)	O3—Cu1—N1—C2	-141.1 (2)
O1—Cu1—O3—N2	8.9 (4)	O7—Cu1—N1—C2	127.8 (2)
O6—Cu1—O3—N2	-68.8 (2)	Cu1—O3—N2—O5	165.8 (3)
N1—Cu1—O3—N2	97.3 (2)	Cu1—O3—N2—O4	-15.0 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O6—H6A \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.85 (1)	2.03 (2)	2.825 (4)	156 (4)
O6—H6B \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.85 (2)	1.86 (1)	2.700 (4)	166 (2)
O7—H7A \cdots O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85 (1)	2.06 (2)	2.874 (5)	163 (5)
O7—H7B \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.85 (3)	2.08 (3)	2.833 (4)	148 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1/2, y, -z+3/2$; (ii) $x-1/2, y, -z+3/2$; (iii) $-x+1/2, y+1/2, z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

